Determinants of Agile Performance Management System in IT Companies in Coimbatore

MS. N. SUGANYA[1]   **DR. M. UMAMAHESHWARI**[2]

Abstract

Agile Performance Management is an essential tool designed for a brave new world that is more social, conversational, and speedier. All of this includes frequent performance talks, or check-ins, which should take place at least once a month. The discussions are intended to assist managers and employees develop honest, meaningful relationships and dialogue while also offering an opportunity to provide much feedback. Conventional evaluations were never really suited to monitoring employee performance in the first place, therefore the demand for Agile Performance Management evolved as a result of the fast-paced, ever-changing work environment in which we discover ourselves. This took a long time and produced mounds of documentation, yet the results were inaccurate. Agile performance assessments are distinct in that they provide real-time feedback that is significantly more straightforward, transparent, and straightforward. The goal of agile process improvement is to solve the flaws and shortcomings of traditional performance management by establishing a more forward-thinking and meaningful strategy. With this in mind, the purpose of this study is to investigate the factors that influence the requirement for agile performance management in a sample of Coimbatore-based information technology firms.

Keywords: *Agile Performance Management, Employee Performance, Real-Time Feedback, and Forward-Looking.*

Introduction

Human resource managers can also use the Performance Management System to link individual goals to departmental objectives, enabling it easier to achieve departmental objectives. People management has gotten increasingly structured and specialised in recent decades. Many of the previous performance appraisal and evaluation methods have been integrated into the notion of Performance Management, which strives to be a more complete and comprehensive process of managing people that will result in individual and organisational success. Recent advancements in the field of human resource management have established performance

---

[1]Ph.D. Research Scholar, Department of Business Administration (PG), Dr. SNS Rajalakshmi College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore.

[2]Associate Professor and Head, Department of Business Administration (PG), Dr. SNS Rajalakshmi College of Arts and Science, Coimbatore

management as a modern scientific tool for employee differentiation or talent management, management by objectives, and continuous monitoring and review. In every organisation, properly implementing a performance management system helps to maximize the performance of each individual (employee), hence increasing total effectiveness and production. The appraisal information is being used to direct the instruction, job experiences, mentoring, and other capacity development that professionals will engage in to build their capabilities when a performance appraisal system is employed for development. Although having a performance measurement system that supports simultaneously decision-making and growth functions is theoretically viable, it can be challenging to implement in practise. Furthermore, research has indicated that the rating's purpose (decision-making vs. development) has an impact on the evaluations that are noticed[3].

Problem Discussion

Competency models are now widely used as the foundation for performance management systems in many organisations[4].Competency models define the knowledge, skills, abilities, and other traits that are most important in producing effective organisational outcomes. Key competencies and associated critical work behaviours are identified through job analysis techniques such as workplace observations, interviews, focus groups, and surveys. Jeffery Schippmann's (1999) book on strategic job modeling discusses a good process for finding and characterizing abilities[5]. Performance management has broadened its scope and reach to include individual goals, departmental targets, and organisational goals. Everything just currently includes concerns that are important to many other aspects of HRM, including recruiting, induction, training & development, reward management, capability procedures, and termination[6].

Over the last few years, performance appraisal has seen several transformations. Of course, the introduction and implementation of the 360-degree feedback system have been the most striking. The typical supervisor-employee performance review meetings were, for the most

[3] Greguras, G. J., Robie, C., Schleicher, D. J., & Goff, M. (2003). A field study of the effects of rating purpose on the quality of multisource ratings. *Personnel Psychology*, 56, 1-21.

[4] Spencer, L., & Spencer, S. (1994). Competence at work. New York, NY: John Wiley.

[5] Schippmann, J. S. (1999). Strategic job modeling: Working at the core of integrated human resource systems. Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[6] Boxall, P., Purcell, J. and Wright, P. (2008). The Oxford International Handbook of Human Resource Management, Oxford: Oxford University Press, pp.171-172.

part, a checkbox exercise. These were changed over time into a more results-oriented performance management procedure. Forward-thinking firms, on the other hand, have recently begun to use an agile performance management method – a strategy that allows for the flexibility required in today's workforce. Agile performance management reflects the modern workplace and the individuals who work there. Workplaces have changed from a hierarchical top-down structure to an open, collaborative one where multiple teams and management levels join forces to achieve a common goal, particularly in the information economy. Employees are no longer interested in hearing a list of objectives read aloud to them. They demand to be involved in the creation of their own personal goals and plans for reaching them. That mindset is influenced by a connected world in which messages and SMS travel at lightning speed: Instant communication is second nature to millennial. Employees and managers can communicate in real-time because today's workplaces are as connected as any online social network. Performance appraisal does not have to wait until the end of the year. Organizational processes have begun to show signs of agility as organizations increasingly adopt the concept of "agile."

Agile performance management is a method of evaluating and developing employees that replace annual performance reviews with a continuous and interactive process. Goal setting, measurable results, collaboration, frequent feedback, flexibility to meet changing organizational needs, and effective coaching are all emphasized in this approach. It relies on a basic performance management approach by being frequent and consistent while remaining flexible enough to meet organizational changes and each employee's unique abilities and objectives. It also demands supervisors to be more facilitative rather than directive. In most situations, the fundamental performance management approach that organisations claim to use falls short of employee expectations in terms of goal clarity, evaluation, and frequency, and quality of feedback. To begin, follow these simple steps to set up the Agile PMS correctly.

- Establish work goals and objectives for employees

- Keep track of goals and don't let them lapse

- Hold frequent performance dialogues

- Don't overlook minor details in peer reviews

• Set the agenda, set the frequency

• Strive for proactive management

Following six performance measurement tactics may appear straightforward, but when executed, they can yield significant results. When firms engage their employees and perceive them as contributors rather than cogs in a machine, the ideal work environment for success is created. However, there is no uniform definition of agile performance management. Each business must determine how to arrange its operations to meet its own requirements. Employees are engaged in a year-round, continuous process of individual growth as part of an agile performance management approach, allowing them to become active players in growing their talents in ways that benefit both themselves and the firm.

The pandemic's performance management prompted us to reconsider how we manage performance now. Even though agility was popular before COVID-19, businesses had little choice but to adapt if they wanted to thrive. Customers' wants to be shifted on a dime, and businesses needed to respond swiftly to stay competitive. We don't have to wait for a crisis to deploy agile methods, though. Your company's lifeblood is its employees' productivity. The entire firm suffers if personnel are unable to adjust to change or support abrupt shifts in business priorities. You may empower employees to respond to changing business needs and move the organisation forward by employing agile performance management. Here's everything that you need to know about why flexible performance management is so important, as well as how to put it into practice in your company.

The need for Agile Performance Management arose not only as a result of the fast-paced, ever-changing work environment in which we now find ourselves but also because traditional performance assessments were never well-suited to measuring employee performance in the first place. They ate up a lot of your time and produced mountains of labour, but they didn't produce the desired results. The fact that this agile performance management will produce a fantastic employee with significant comprehension, both at the leader and worker levels. The adoption of creative movement people-interventions is aided by agile performance management. Agile Performance Management is a collaborative, constant feedback and development process that is based on the steady commutation of traditional performance management. Agile performance

management is based on a collaborative work environment. This helps workers to be more involved in the goal-setting process, which leads to a more powerful and productive geographical point. Furthermore, because workers travel and engage with peers on a daily basis, peer-based evaluation is typically more valuable than feedback from superiors. It's critical to delegate responsibility for performance management and training to your employees. Any or all parties benefit from a positive operational relationship built on peer-feedback and encouragement. With the foregoing in mind, the purpose of this study is to investigate the elements that influence agile performance management in manufacturing firms.

Literature Samples

Agile performance management, according to Casey Pechan (2022), is responsive and occurs in the day-to-day exchanges between employees and supervisors. It's a continual performance management approach that keeps an eye on internal and external changes, as well as the impact they have on personal skills. Agile performance management, according to Williscroft and Uddeen (2021), is a response to the present dynamic marketplace, which demands agility and alignment. It increases performance by providing flexibility, autonomy, and ownership, all desirable traits in today's world, through frequent appraisals, check-ins, and 360-degree feedback. According to Assish Jugmohun (2018), the Performance Management System is one of the most important and positive developments to have gained traction in recent years. As a result, its introduction and implementation have become critical for many firms in their effort to improve and increase their competitiveness. Poor management support, according to Pace (2011), is one of the most crucial issues that any firm has throughout the deployment stage of a PMS.The lack of loyalty from high and managerial capacity might exacerbate the situation, as lower-level employees are unlikely to take PMS seriously (Ochurub, Bussin and Goosen, 2012). As a result, line managers must own, drive, and deliver a PMS for it to be effective (Armstrong and Baron, 2005; Rao, 2007). Businesses need to have active top management support, participation, and leadership for the successful implementation of a PMS process, according to Cheng et al. (2007). This is especially true if top management commitment and leadership acceptance for PMS deployment are lacking. Employees will have a habit of giving the new system less or no attention.

Research Design

The research is both scientific and observational. This study analysed primary data obtained from the government authority of information technology enterprises in the Coimbatore district via a well-structured survey. The study's secondary data was gathered from books, periodicals, websites, publications, and business journals. A total of 50 respondents from the companies will be chosen and the questionnaires will be sent to them. Because the sample organisations have implemented agile performance management, the sampling technique used for the study is purposive or judgment sampling. Factor analysis is used to analyze the collected data.

Analysis and discussion

Factors determining the implementation of agile performance management in manufacturing companies

Based on literature assessments and expert judgments, the research project considers 15 variables. The elements that influence the adoption of agile performance management in IT businesses are outlined and classified using factor analysis. They're there;

1. Skill, experience, and understanding of employees
2. Vision and Mission/Objectives
3. Organizational culture
4. Managerial support
5. Training
6. Employee commitment and behavior
7. Job security and safety
8. Consistent and Systematic strategies
9. Dynamic operations
10. Proper communication
11. Inter-departmental cooperation
12. Rewards and appraisals
13. Company's size
14. Enterprise Resource Planning
15. Goodwill of the company

The Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin (KMO) sampling adequacy measure was used to assess the suitability of the factor analytical framework.

Table 1:KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy		.771
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	Approx. Chi-Square	112.52
	df	49
	Sig.	**.001****

The value of the KMO Measure of Sampling Adequacy is 0.892, indicating that all variables are positively associated. Because the significant value of Bartlett's test of sphericity is less than 0.05, it is inferred that Factor Analysis can be done for these variables.

Communalities

The Principal Component Analysis approach is used to extract the communalities of all variables. The following are the Communalities of all variables.

Table 2: Communalities

Variables	Initial	Extraction
Skill, experience and understanding of employees	1.000	.722
Vision and Mission/Objectives	1.000	.562
Enterprise Resource Planning	1.000	.631
Organizational culture	1.000	.614
Managerial support	1.000	.712
Training	1.000	.602
Employee commitment and behaviour	1.000	.598
Job security and safety	1.000	.618
Consistent and Systematic strategies	1.000	.593

Dynamic operations	1.000	.607
Proper communication	1.000	.745
Inter-departmental cooperation	1.000	.565
Rewards and appraisals	1.000	.706
Company's size	1.000	.666
Goodwill of the company	1.000	.780

Extraction Method: Principal

Component Analysis

All of the communalities are over and above the minimum threshold value of 0.5, as seen in the table above. As a result, all of the variables are preserved.

Rotated Component Matrix

Table3: Rotated Component Matrix

Variables	Component	
	1	2
Skill, experience and understanding of employees	.692	
Vision and Mission/Objectives	.641	
Enterprise Resource Planning	.630	
Organizational culture	.761	
Managerial support	.766	
Training		.591
Employee commitment and behaviour		.552
Job security and safety		.606
Consistent and Systematic strategies	.634	
Dynamic operations	.626	
Proper communication	.708	
Inter-departmental cooperation		.636
Rewards and appraisals		.548
Company's size	.623	
Goodwill of the company	.618	

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 6 iterations.

All loadings equal to or greater to 0.5 are taken into account in the above table. Ten statements are loaded on First Factor, as seen in the table above. This component is called Employer Related Factors because of the underlying relationship between these ten variables. Employee Related Factors is the second factor, which has five variables loaded and is based on their underlying relationships. The employer related factors are related to ownership and management and are highly supervisory. The employee factors dealt with thereon operations and the procedures involved in it and thereon. The view of employers and employees towards performance management, be it agile or traditional, may vary and in particular, employees view performance management/monitoring systems as systems intended to force them to work harder, and managers see such systems as efforts to put increased pressure on them to produce added results[7].

Conclusion and Recommendations

Although the articulating company and personal objectives clearly is an important step for every firm, communication alone will not get you very far. Going agile is a change that can be made easier by investing in technology. Issues like ERP infrastructure, good system administration, ERP education, human resource planning, top management commitment, and training facilities should all be considered before beginning PMS deployment. Organizations should view training as an investment rather than an expense, and training should be a continuous process rather than a one-time event. Before designing and delivering training, a detailed requirements assessment must be completed. This would aid in setting suitable training goals and ensuring that personnel is prepared to participate.

When considering the implementation of a PMS, employee behaviour is an important factor to consider. Senior management can urge the PMS to be more clearly visible to other people in the organization by using 360-degree feedback and frequent face-to-face meetings. Designing an agile quality management program that promotes collaboration, cooperation,

---

[7] Nur Naha Abu Mansor, b Ananya Raka Chakraborty, c Tay Ke Yin, d Zeynep Mahitapoglu (2012), "Organizational Factors Influencing Performance Management System in Higher Educational Institution of South East Asia", *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences,* 40 (2012), 584 – 590

transparency, and effectiveness is crucial. To develop an outstanding transformation, you should first comprehend the essential users' performance pain points company managers, element of time business partners, and, ultimately, the employees themselves. Then, in a simple-to-use method, add the necessary functions and connect them. Only then will the approach to goal control and performance evaluation be beneficial for individuals and, as a consequence, to the firm.

References

1. Assish Jugmohun (2018). Factors that influence the effectiveness of performance management system adoption in organization", *Global Journal of Human Resource Management,* .6(1), 51-66. http://www.eajournals.org/wp-content/uploads/Factors-That-Influence-the-Effectiveness-of-Performance-Management-System-Adoption-in-Organisation.pdf

2. Casey Pechan(2022). What-is-agile-performance-management. retrieved from: https://www.betterworks.com/magazine/what-is-agile-performance-management/

3. Cheng, M I, Dainty, A and Moore, D (2007). Implementing a new performance management system within a project-based organisation: a case study. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 56(1), 60-75.

4. Chung, L H, Gibbons, P T and Schoch, H P (2006). The management of information and managers in subsidiaries of multinational corporations. *British Journal of Management*, 17(2), 153-165

5. Du, Y and Farley, J U (2001). Research on technological innovation as seen through the Chinese looking glass. *Journal of Enterprising Culture*, 9(1), 53-89

6. Edirisooriya, W A (2014). Impact of Rewards on Employee Performance: With Special Reference to ElectriCo. Reshaping Management and Economic Thinking through Integrating Eco-Friendly and Ethical Practices. *Paper presented at the Third International Conference on Management and Economics*, 26-27 February 2014, Sri Lanka

7. Ochurub, M, Bussin, M and Goosen, X (2012). Organisational readiness for introducing a performance management system. *SA Journal of Human Resource Management*, 10(1), 1-11

8. Ogbonna, E and Harris, L C (2000). Leadership style, organisational culture and performance: empirical evidence from UK companies. *International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 11(4), 766-788

9. Pace, A (2011) "The performance management dilemma", *Intelligence//Human Performance*, Vol. 12

10. Phil Williscroft and Hassan Uddeen (2021). Agile Performance Management: How Smart Managers Implement It. Retrieved from: https://www.totaralearning.com/en/node/1633#:~:text=Agile%20Performance%20Manag ement- ,What%20Is%20Agile%20Performance%20Management%3F,under%20organizational% 20and%20personal%20goals.

11. Ramgutty-Wong, A (2014). The future of public sector HRM in Mauritius from an accountability perspective. *Open Journal of Social Sciences*, 2, 55-63

12. Rao, A S (2007). Effectiveness of performance management systems: an empirical study in Indian companies. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 18, 1812-1840

13. Shanks, G, Parr, A, Hu, B, Corbitt, T T and Seddon, P (2000). Differences in Critical Success Factors in ERP Systems Implementation in Australia and China: A Cultural Analysis. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Information Systems*, Wienns, Austria, 32-42

14. Shankar, R. (2018). Private Equity Investments in Tamilnadu: Factors Defining it. *International Journal of Academic Research and Development*, *3*(2), 645-649.

15. Stuart Hearn (2018). Exploring Agile Performance Management (and How to Implement it), https://www.clearreview.com/agile-performance-management-guide-2018/

16. V. Santhi and R. Shankar. (2021). Collision of Green Employee Engagement and Green Human Resource Management in Employee's Productivity. *HuSS: International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Sciences,* 8(1), 27-32.

17. Tardivo, G and Viassone, M (2010). Creating an innovative social performance management system: beyond the economic-financial perspective: empirical research findings. *Journal of Financial Management and Analysis*, 23(2), 99-110.